

IMMEDIATE

No. PBW(C)F(5)4/2017
Government of Himachal Pradesh
Public Works Department

From

The Addl. Chief Secretary (PW) to the
Government of Himachal Pradesh.

To

The Engineer-in-Chief,
HP PWD, Nirman Bhawan,
H.P. Shimla-171002.

Dated Shimla-171002, the 3rd March, 2017

Subject:- Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana- Administrative Approval and Expenditure Sanction.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letter No.PW/DPRs Vol-X-2016-17/542 dated 23-02-2017 on the above captioned subject and to convey the administrative approval and expenditure sanction amounting to Rs. 1060.06 Crore (One thousand Sixty crore Six lakh) only sanctioned by the Ministry of Rural Development, Government of India under PMGSY for the year 2016-17 (Batch-II) as under:-

Items	Stage-I	Complete	Stage-II	Up-gradation	Bridges	Total
Values in Rs. Crore	129.51	163.73	574.95	145.22	26.65	1060.06
No. of Works	40	47	192	35	16	314 roads 16 Bridges
Length in Kms.	187.09	246.56	1119.48	294.19	697.59	1847.32 Kms. roads 697.59 M. Bridge
Average cost (Rs. in Lakhs/Km)	69.22	66.41	53.14	49.36	3.82/m	
Habitations	500+: 10 Nos. 250+: 96 Nos. 250+ Clusters: 7 Nos.					500+: 10 250+:96 250+Clusters: 7 Nos.
MoRD Share:	Rs. 952.66 Crore					
State Share:	Rs. 107.40 Crore					

You are, therefore, requested to take further action in the matter accordingly after completing all codal formalities as per Ministry's guidelines.

Yours faithfully,

(Rakesh Mehta)

Joint Secretary (PW) to the
Government of Himachal Pradesh.

EE (PMSSY)

3/3


3/3/17

AE-1

Endst. No. As above. Dated Shimla-171002, the 3rd March, 2017.

Copy forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. The Accountant General, HP, Shimla-3.
2. The Senior Dy. Accountant General (A&E) HP, Shimla-3.
3. All Zonal Chief Engineers/Chief Engineer (PMGSY), HP PWD,
4. Guard File.


(Rakesh Mehta)
Joint Secretary (PW) to the
Government of Himachal Pradesh.

NO:PBW(C)F(5)-4/2017
Government of Himachal Pradesh
Public Works Department

From:

Additional Chief Secretary (PW) to the
Government of Himachal Pradesh.

To

1. The Engineer-in-Chief,
HPPWD, Nigam Vihar, Shimla-2
2. The All Zonal Chief Engineers,
Shimla/ Hamirpur/Mandi/Kangra,
HPPWD.

Dated Shimla-2 the 27th Feb., 2017.

Subject: Clearance to the Project proposals of Himachal Pradesh under PMGSY-I (Batch-II, 2016-17).

Sir,

Government of India, has vide letter dated 22nd February, 2017, approved PMGSY proposal (Batch-II, 2016-17) for Rs. 1060.06 crore. The requirement to ensure availability of land, and forest clearances before inviting tenders etc. should be ensured by the Zonal Chief Engineers. In the Video Conference held on 25.02.2017, the merits of clubbing works particularly relating to upgradation was also emphasized and the same should be prioritized.

However, it is reiterated that the sanction for Rs. 1060.06 crore has been accorded conditionally, and subject to the following conditions being complied with by the State Government before tendering:-

- i) One road which has been shifted from the present Batch-II to Batch-III was sanctioned for Stage-I in the year 2006-07. However, this was again proposed for complete construction in the current batch with a different nomenclature and link number. This issue was identified by NRRDA during sample scrutiny. The State must verify that there is no other similar case in remaining roads. If any other case is found appropriate action should be initiated against the concerned.
- ii) State must ensure that the payment to roads where the Stabilized sub bases or bases provided is made only after verification of target UCS strength achieved after 7 days of stabilized layer based on cores taken from the pavement as per MoRD specifications.

- iii) State must propose balance length of 34.39 Kms using new materials/ IRC Accredited materials in the next batch of proposals to fill this gap.
- iv) State must ensure that the payment to Cement Concrete roads is made only after verification of target strength achieved after 28 days based on cores taken from the pavement as per MoRD specifications.
- v) 15 sample DPRs, where the higher average cost was reported during EC meeting and 2 New Technology DPRs received from the State have been scrutinized at NRRDA and found that the reduction in cost of about 18% from the Original DPR. Such high reduction in cost of sample DPRs indicates that appropriate efforts have not been made by PIUs in preparation of DPRs and their scrutiny. State Government need to initiate appropriate actions against the concerned for submitting such inflated DPRs.

The OMMAS based road-list along with District-wise abstract is enclosed.

Therefore, the stipulation contained in Govt. of India letter dated 22.02.2017, should be complied with and action taken for compliance with regard to observation made by Govt. of India be also indicated.

Yours faithfully,



**Additional Chief Secretary (PW) to the
Government of Himachal Pradesh.**