

No-PW-Bud-39-10-LOC-NABARD (S.Z.)/2012-13  
Himachal Pradesh  
Public Works Department

966-29

From: - Chief Engineer (S.Z.)  
To

The Superintending Engineer,  
3<sup>rd</sup> Circle, Solan, HP, PWD, Solan.

The Superintending Engineer,  
4<sup>th</sup> Circle, Shimla, HP, PWD, Shimla.

The Superintending Engineer,  
11<sup>th</sup> Circle, Rampur, HP, PWD, Rampur.

The Superintending Engineer,  
12<sup>th</sup> Circle, Nahar, HP, PWD, Nahar.

Dated: Shimla-17/05/12


25 May, 2012

Subject: - LOC for Nabard works.

Instructions are already there that the works costing more than Rs 30.00 Lacs should be inspected, thrice during the execution.

The 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> inspection should be conducted by the Engineer's of Quality Control wing when the progress of work is about 30% and 70% respectively and the last inspection should be done by the Superintending Engineer concerned when the work is more than 95% complete.


Some references, are being received from the Circles/Divisions that Engineer's of Quality Control are inspecting the works, due to certain reasons due to which the pavement /quantity check problems are being faced by them. Keeping this aspect in view you are permitted to get the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> inspections conducted through the Executive Engineer (D) or Assistant Engineer's (D) of your Circle and move the LOC Cases accordingly. You are requested to submit your demand of LOC afresh on the modified Proforma enclosed. It may also be noted that your expenditure and LOC requirement should match with the reimbursement amount.

 Chief Engineer (S.Z.)  
HP, PWD, Shimla-2

Copy to the Engineer-in-Chief, HP, PWD, Shimla for information please.

Copy to the Executive Engineer, Quality & Control HP, PWD, Shimla for information.

Copy to the Superintending Engineer, Rohru, HP, PWD Rohru w.r.t to his letter No-956-57 and 966 dated 28-01-2012 for taking similar action.

 Chief Engineer (S.Z.)  
HP, PWD, Shimla-2

**Requirement of L.O.C for NABARD Works**

Date of Demand:- \_\_\_\_\_

1. Name of Division. \_\_\_\_\_.
2. Name of Work \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Sanctioned Cost \_\_\_\_\_ :- (a) NABARD Share =  
(b) State Share =  
Total: =

4. Name of Contractor \_\_\_\_\_
5. Awarded amount \_\_\_\_\_
6. Date of Award: \_\_\_\_\_
7. Stipulated date of completion. \_\_\_\_\_
8. Scope/achievement: \_\_\_\_\_

Sr. No	Item	Qty	Unit	Rate	Amount	Physical achievement	Reimbursement admissible
1.	F/C						
2.	C.D						
3.	M/T						
4.	Bridge						
5.							
6.							
7.							
8.							
Total	X	X	X	X		X	

9. (a) Actual exp. Up to \_\_\_\_\_ =Rs. \_\_\_\_\_  
(b) Cost of material booked/in MAS/advanced to Contractor \_\_\_\_\_  
(c) Actual reimbursement upto \_\_\_\_\_ Rs. \_\_\_\_\_

10. LOC Required. \_\_\_\_\_

11. (a) Reason for delay  
(b) Likely date of completion \_\_\_\_\_  
(c) Extension granted up to \_\_\_\_\_

12. Inspection by quality control Engineer/ Concerned S.E.:-

Reference of Inspections			
Stage	Designation	Date	Grading
1 <sup>st</sup> 20%-30%			
2 <sup>nd</sup> 60%-70%			
3 <sup>rd</sup> 95%-100%			

C/S  
Executive Engineer  
Superintending Engineer